

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/073,778	02/11/2002	David Ralph Newsome	P-5170-02-02	6668	
23983	7590 06/15/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MILLS LAW FIRM, PLLC			POPOVICS,	POPOVICS, ROBERT J	
P.O BOX 124	5				
Cary, NC 27512-1245			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1724		
			DATE MAILED: 06/15/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				/4			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/073,778	NEWSOME, DAVID	RALPH			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Robert J. Popovics	1724				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover shee	with the correspondence add	lress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma ion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of period will apply and will expire SIX (6) is statute, cause the application to becom	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this core ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status			•				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	27 January 2005.					
·		This action is non-final.	•				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10</u> is/are withdr Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	rawn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection		•	o.			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c The oath or declaration is objected to by t	•	• • •	` '			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) □ a)i	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Elee the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. Iments have been received in the priority documents have be Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this National S	Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Information	re of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 r No(s)/Mail Date	(8) Paper I	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-	152)			

486

Application/Control Number: 10/073,778

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-9, drawn to a Process for Treating Waste Products Discharged in a Waste Water from an Animal Containment Operation, classified in class 210, subclass 768.
- II. Claim 10, drawn to a Dryer, classified in class 34, subclass 523.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions of Group I and Group II are related as combination and subcombination.

Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination fails to recite the means for transferring and means for removing recited in claim 10. The subcombination has separate utility such as the drying of a non-agricultural material.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Mr. Peter D. Sachtjen on or about March 24, 2005 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-

9. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/073,778

Art Unit: 1724

Claim 10 is withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

It is requested that Applicant cancel the non-elected claim in his next response.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The preamble of the claim calls for a "process," yet, the limitations appearing in the body of the claim all appear as "means plus function" apparatus limitations. Accordingly, it is unclear which statutory class of invention Applicant intends to claim. Moreover, it is unclear what specific manipulative steps Applicant regards as his invention. In this regard, Applicant is directed to 37 CFR 1.75 (i) which dictates that each separate step should be broken out using indentation. Should Applicant desire to claim a "method," then Applicant is urged to re-draft the claim using gerunds to define his manipulative steps, and to conform with 37 CFR 1.75 (i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert J. Popovics whose telephone number is (571) 272-1164. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday between 2:00 PM and 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/073,778 Page 4

Art Unit: 1724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert James Popovics
Primary Evaminer

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1724

June 13, 2005